PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

		·
From the INTERN	IATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	PCT
	CS PATENT GMBH erstrasse 43 nheim	NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION
GERMANY	EINGEGANGEN Patentabteilung	
	2 1. Okt. 2005	(PCT Rule 44.1)
	Erl.,	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 2 0. 10. 2005
Applicant's or agent	's file reference	
PT11787 WO		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below
international applica	ition No.	International filing date
PCT/EP2004/0	053688	(day/month/year) 23/12/2004

1.	X	The applicant is hereby notified that the international search report and the written opinion of the international Searching Authority have been established and are transmitted herewith.
		Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19: The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the ciaims of the International Application (see Rule 46): When? The time limit for filing such amendments is normally 2 months from the date of transmittal of the International Search Report; however, for more details, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.
		Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WiPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Fascimile No.: (41–22) 740.14.35
		For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.
2.		The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.
3.		With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:
		the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the international Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices. no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.
4.	Rem	inders
	Interi appli	tly after the expiration of 18 month s from the priority date, the international application will be published by the national Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international cation, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90 <i>bis</i> .1 and 90 <i>bis</i> .3, respectively, re the completion of the technical preparations for international publication.
	Interi interi	applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the national Bureau. The international Bureau will send a copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an national preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to bublic but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date.
	exan date	in 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary nination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices.
	in re	spect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19

See the Annex to Form PCT/iB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the *PCT Applicant's Guide*, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.

Name and mailing address of the international Searching Authority



months.

Applicant

VOITH FABRICS PATENT GMBH

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Malene Strarup

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international poulication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended:

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been is filed, see below.

How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- the claim is unchanged;
- the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- 1. [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]: Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- 3. [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding *Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added.* or *Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged.*
- 4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]: *Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

"Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international appplication is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the International Bureau, also file a copy of such amendments with the International Preliminary Examining Authority (see Rule 62.2(a), first sentence).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

Notes to Form PCT/ISA/220 (second sheet) (January 1994)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

pplicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION as well	see Form PCT/ISA/220 Il as, where applicable, item 5 below.
T11787 WO	International filing date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
nternational application No.		30/01/2004
CT/EP2004/053688	23/12/2004	30/01/2004
applicant	•	
	•	
OITH FABRICS PATENT GMBH		
This International Search Report has be according to Article 18. A copy is being to	en prepared by this International Searching Autransmitted to the International Bureau.	thority and is transmitted to the applicant
This International Search Report consist	ts of a total of sheets.	in report
X It is also accompanied b	by a copy of each prior art document cited in thi	is report.
Basis of the report		
the temperate the	e international search was carried out on the building indicated under this item.	asis of the international application to the
language in which it was med, a	al search was carried out on the basis of a tran	slation of the international application furnished to
this Authority (F	Rule 23.1(b)).	
b. With regard to any nuc	leotide and/or amino acid sequence disclose	ed in the international application, see Box No. i.
Cortain claims were for	ound unsearchable (See Box II).	
3. X Unity of invention is la	acking (see Box III).	
4. With regard to the title,		
	submitted by the applicant.	
the text has been estal	blished by this Authority to read as follows:	
		·
5. With regard to the abstract,		
<u></u>	s submitted by the applicant.	bority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant
X the text has been esta	ablished, according to Hule 38.2(b), by this Aut h from the date of mailing of this international s	thority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant search report, submit comments to this Authority.
may, within one mont		
may, within one mont		
may, within one mont 6. With regard to the drawings , a. the figure of the drawings to	be published with the abstract is Figure No	1
may, within one month 6. With regard to the drawings , a. the figure of the drawings to as suggested	be published with the abstract is Figure No	
may, within one monte 6. With regard to the drawings , a. the figure of the drawings to as suggested as selected by	be published with the abstract is Figure No	o suggest a figure.

International application No.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

PCT/EP2004/053688

	tion of item 5 of the first sheet)
Box No. IV	Text of the abstract (Continuation of item 5 of the first sheet)

A permeable belt (34), a belt press (22) including a roll (18) having an exterior surface and the permeable belt, and a method of drying or pressing a web (12) with the permeable belt. The permeable belt can be tensioned to at least 30 KN/m. A side of the permeable belt has an open area of at least approximately 25% and a contact area of at least approximately 10% of at least 25%.

International application No. PCT/EP2004/053688

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Box II Ob	servations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
	ional Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
1. Cla	ims Nos.: cause they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. Cla bed an	tims Nos.: cause they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:
	aims Nos.: cause they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box III Ol	oservations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
This Interna	ational Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
S	ee additional sheet
1. X As	s all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all earchable claims.
2. A	s all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment f any additional fee.
3. A	is only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report overs only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4.	No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark	The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest. X No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210

This International Searching Authority found multiple (groups of) inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. claims: 1-84,130-144

Pressing arrangements and methods employing a permeable belt having a tension of at least approximately 30 kN/m, a first side having an open area of at least approximately 25 % and a contact area of at least approximately 10 %.

2. claims: 85-129,145-153

A pressing arrangement and pressing methods wherein air is moved through a paper web disposed between two fabrics.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/EP2004/053688

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 D21F3/02

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 D21F

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ

Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X A	DE 199 46 979 A1 (VOITH PAPER PATENT GMBH) 5 April 2001 (2001-04-05)	85,88, 97,99, 113,115, 123,129, 145 1,33,34, 58,71, 81,130
	the whole document	
X	DE 37 28 124 A1 (SULZER-ESCHER WYSS GMBH; SULZER-ESCHER WYSS GMBH, 7980 RAVENSBURG, DE) 2 March 1989 (1989-03-02)	85, 97-99, 109,110, 113, 115-117, 123-125, 129,145
	the whole document	127,173

X Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	X Patent family members are listed in annex.
 Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed 	 "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "&" document member of the same patent family
Date of the actual completion of the international search 22 September 2005	Date of mailing of the international search report 2 0. 10. 2005
Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Authorized officer Helpiö, T.

2

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/EP2004/053688

(Continua	tion) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	Relevant to claim No.
ategory °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	
	US 2003/056925 A1 (BECK DAVID A) 27 March 2003 (2003-03-27)	85,95, 99,110, 123,129, 145
	the whole document	1 22 24
	DE 198 45 954 A1 (VOITH SULZER PAPIERTECHNIK PATENT GMBH) 13 April 2000 (2000-04-13) the whole document	1,33,34, 58,71, 81,130
	WO 03/062528 A (VOITH PAPER PATENT GMBH; HERMAN, JEFFREY; BECK, DAVID, A; SCHERB, THOM) 31 July 2003 (2003-07-31) cited in the application the whole document	1,33,34, 58,71, 81,130
A	US 6 514 382 B1 (KAKIUCHI SHUSUKE ET AL) 4 February 2003 (2003-02-04) the whole document	85,129, 145
		·
	•	
	·	
	•	

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No
PCT/EP2004/053688

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
DE 19946979	A1	05-04-2001	NONE		
DE 3728124	A1	02-03-1989	EP	0304561 A1	01-03-1989
US 2003056925	A1	27-03-2003	BR CA WO EP JP US	0212795 A 2461918 A1 03029558 A1 1432870 A1 2005504193 T 2004180596 A1	14-12-2004 10-04-2003 10-04-2003 30-06-2004 10-02-2005 16-09-2004
DE 19845954	A1	13-04-2000	NONE		
WO 03062528	Α	31-07-2003	BR CA EP JP US US	0302842 A 2474489 A1 1478804 A1 2005516123 T 2003136018 A1 2005126031 A1	02-03-2004 31-07-2003 24-11-2004 02-06-2005 24-07-2003 16-06-2005
US 6514382	B1	04-02-2003	EP WO	1201796 A1 0111125 A1	02-05-2002 15-02-2001

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below Priority date (day/month/year) International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. 30.01.2004 23.12.2004 PCT/EP2004/053688 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC D21F3/02 **Applicant VOITH FABRICS PATENT GMBH** This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion ☑ Box No. II **Priority** Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. III Lack of unity of invention ☑ Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited Box No. VI Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application M Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** 2. If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. Authorized Officer Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Helpiö, T.

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7495

Form (PCT/ISA/237) (Cover Sheet) (January 2004)

European Patent Office

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

D-80298 Munich

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

AP20 Rec'd PCT/P10 28 JUL 2006 International application No. PCT/EP2004/053688

	Box	No. I	Basis of the opinion
1.	With the la	regar	rd to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in age in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
•		This (angu (unde	opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following age , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search er Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With nece	rega ssar	rd to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and to the total to the total to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. typ	pe of	material:
) a	sequence listing
] ta	ble(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. fo	rmat	of material:
] in	written format
] ir	computer readable form
	c. tir	me of	filing/furnishing:
] c	ontained in the international application as filed.
		☐ fi	led together with the international application in computer readable form.
	[⊐ fi	urnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3	s. 🗆	has	ddition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional les is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ropriate, were furnished.
4	t. Ada	dition	al comments:
-	Bo	x No	. II Priority
-	1. 🖾	The doe req	e validity of the priority claim has not been considered because the International Searching Authority es not have in its possession a copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed or, where uired, a translation of that earlier application. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the sumption that the relevant date (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1) is the claimed priority date.
	2. 🗆	Thi	s opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international ag date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
	3. Ad	ditio	nal observations, if necessary:

	•						
-	Box No. IV Lack of unity of in						
1. [In response to the invitation	In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees, the applicant has:					
	paid additional fees.						
	paid additional fees	under pro	test.				
	not paid additional fe	es.					
2.	the applicant to pay addition	al tees.		of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite			
3.	This Authority considers that the	requirem	ent of unity	of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is			
	□ complied with						
	□ not complied with for the following the following the following that it is not complied with for the following the fo	wing reas	sons:				
	see separate sheet						
4.	Consequently, this report has be	en estab	lished in re	spect of the following parts of the international application:			
	☐ the parts relating to claims N	os.					
	Box No. V Reasoned stater	nent und	er Rule 43	bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or as supporting such statement			
		ns and e	xpiariatioi	is supporting			
1.	Statement						
	Novelty (N)	Yes:		1-84, 130-144 85,88,95,97-99,110,113,115-117,123-125,129,145			
		No:	Claims				
	Inventive step (IS)	Yes:	Claims	1-84, 130-144			
		No:	Claims .	86, 87,89- 94,96,100-109,111,112,114,118-122,126-128,146-153			
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-153			
2	. Citations and explanations						
***	see separate sheet						

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

\$40/587627 \$AP20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 28 JUL 2006 International application No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/EP2004/053688

Re Item IV

Lack of unity of invention

This Authority consideres that there are two (2) inventions covered by the claims indicated as follows:

I: Claims 1-84 and 130-144

Pressing arrangements and methods employing a permeable belt having a tension of at least approximately 30 kN/m, a first side having an open area of at least approximately 25 % and a contact area of at least approximately 10 %.

II: Claims 85-129 and 145-153

A pressing arrangement and pressing methods wherein air is moved through a paper web disposed between two fabrics.

The reasons for which these inventions are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept, as required by Rule 13.1 PCT, are presented in the "invitation to pay additional fees" (Form PCT/ISA/206).

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1 Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: DE 199 46 979 A1 (VOITH PAPER PATENT GMBH) 5 April 2001 (2001-04-05)
 - D2: DE 198 45 954 A1 (VOITH SULZER PAPIERTECHNIK PATENT GMBH) 13 April 2000 (2000-04-13)
 - D3: WO 03/062528 A (VOITH PAPER PATENT GMBH; HERMAN, JEFFREY; BECK, DAVID, A; SCHERB, THOM) 31 July 2003 (2003-07-31)
 - D4: DE 37 28 124 A1 (SULZER-ESCHER WYSS GMBH; SULZER-ESCHER WYSS GMBH, 7980 RAVENSBURG, DE) 2 March 1989 (1989-03-02)
 - D5: US 2003/056925 A1 (BECK DAVID A) 27 March 2003 (2003-03-27)

D6: US-B1-6 514 382 (KAKIUCHI SHUSUKE ET AL) 4 February 2003 (2003-02-04)

- 2 Claims 1-84 and 130-144
- 2.1 The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1, and discloses:

"A belt press for a paper machine, the belt press comprising: a roll (1) comprising an exterior surface (13); a permeable belt (5) comprising a first side and being guided over a portion of said exterior surface (13) of said roll (1)".

The subject-matter of claim 1 therefore differs from this known belt press in

"said permeable belt having a tension of at least approximately 30 kN/m; said first side having an open area of at least approximately 25 % and a contact area of at least approximately 10 %, preferably a contact area of at least 25 %".

The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as providing an improved belt press allowing a more efficient dewatering of a fibrous web.

There is no indication in D1, or in any other available prior art document (cf. especially D2 and D3), which would lead the skilled person, in order to solve the above problem, to modify and/or combine their teachings and thereby arrive to the subject-matter of claim 1. Therefore, claim 1 appears to satisfy the requirements of Article 33 PCT with regard to novelty and inventive step.

- 2.2 The same reasoning applies, mutatis mutandis, to the subject-matter of the corresponding independent claims 33, 34, 58, 71, 81 and 130, which therefore are also considered new and inventive.
- 2.3 Dependent claims 2-32, 35-57, 59-70, 72-80, 82-84 and 131-144 add further features to the independent claims and as such they also meet the requirements of the PCT

with respect to novelty and inventive step.

- 3 Claims 85-129 and 145-153
- 3.1 The document D1 discloses (cf. especially Fig. 1) the subject-matter of claim 85:
 - "A pressing arrangement comprising: at least one first fabric (3); at least one second fabric (2); the at least one first fabric (3) and the at least one second fabric (2) being permeable; a paper web (4) disposed between the first (3) and second (2) fabrics; a pressure producing element (5) being in contact with the at least one first fabric (3); a support surface (13) of a supporting structure (1) being in contact with the at least one second fabric (2); and a differential pressure being provided between the first fabric (3) and the support surface (13) and acting on the at least one first fabric (3), the paper web (4), and the at least one second fabric (2), whereby the paper web (4) is subjected to mechanical pressure and experiences a predetermined hydraulic pressure so as to cause water to be drained from the paper web (4); wherein the pressing arrangement is structured and arranged to allow air to flow in a direction from the at least one first fabric (3), through the paper web (4), through the at least one second fabric (2)".
- 3.2 The subject-matter of claim 85 is also known from documents D4 and D5 (cf. international search report).
- 3.3 As a consequence, the present application does not meet the requirements of Article 33 PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 85 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 3.4 The same reasoning applies, mutatis mutandis, to the subject-matter of the corresponding independent claims 129 and 145, which therefore are also considered not new.
- 3.5 Dependent claims 86-128 and 146-153 do not contain any additional features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the

requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty or inventive step. Their additional subject-matter merely relates to optional features, which are well known from documents D1-D6 (cf. international search report) and/or which come within the scope of normal design procedures and which would therefore be applied by the skilled person in view of the technical problem to be solved without the exercise of inventive skill.

Re Item VII

Certain defects in the international application

- 4 Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the <u>relevant background art</u> disclosed especially in the documents D1, D4 and D5 is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.
- Independent claims are not in the <u>two-part form</u> in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT, which in the present case would be appropriate, with those features known in combination from the prior art being placed in the preamble (Rule 6.3(b)(i) PCT) and with the remaining features being included in the characterising part (Rule 6.3(b)(ii) PCT).
- The features of the claims are not provided with <u>reference signs</u> placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

The present application contains 153 claims, of which 10 are independent. There is no clear distinction between the independent claims because of overlapping scope. There are so many claims, and they are drafted in such a way that the claims as a whole are not in compliance with the provisions of clarity and conciseness of Article 6 PCT, as it is particularly burdensome for a skilled person to establish the subject-matter for which protection is sought.
